

No. 5801-7S-73/22339.—Under the provisions of Section 13 (I) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, Shri C. S. Rana, HCS, an Executive Magistrate of the 1st Class is placed in charge of the Palwal Sub Division of the Gurgaon District from the date he takes over charge of duties in the District.

No. 5801-7S-73/22340.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (I) (a) of Section 27 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887, and sub-section (I) (a) of Section 105 of the Punjab Tenancy Act, 1887, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to confer upon Shri C. S. Rana, HCS, Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Palwal the powers of a Collector under the said acts, to hear and determine appeals from the orders and decrees of Assistant Collectors of the 1st and 2nd Grades, such powers to be exercised by the said Shri C. S. Rana within the limits of the Palwal Sub Division of the Gurgaon District.

No. 5801-7S-73/22341.—Under the provisions of clause (C) of Section 3 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to appoint Shri C. S. Rana, HCS, Sub-Divisional Officer, (Civil), Palwal to perform the functions of a Collector under the said act within the limits of the Palwal Sub Division of the Gurgaon District.

No. 5801-7S-73/22342.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 2 of Section 3 of the Punjab Restitution of Mortgaged Lands Act, 1938 (Punjab Act IV of 1938), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to invest Shri C. S. Rana, HCS, Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Palwal with the powers of a Collector for the purpose of the aforesaid Act, such powers to be exercised within the limits of Palwal sub-division of the Gurgaon District.

No. 5801-7S-73/22343.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (B) of Section 2 of the Punjab Occupancy Tenants (Vesting of Proprietary Rights) Act, 1952, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to empower Shri C. R. Rana, HCS, Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Palwal to perform the duties of a Collector under the said act within the limits of the Palwal sub-division of the Gurgaon District.

No. 5801-7S-73/22344.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Colonization of Government Lands Act, 1912 (Punjab Act V of 1912), Shri C. R. Rana, HCS, Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Palwal is appointed as a Collector to perform all the functions and exercise all the powers under sections 17, 20 (3), 24, 25, 26, 32, 33 and 34 of the said act within the limits of the Palwal sub-division of Gurgaon District over the lands to which the said act applies in respect of all State owned lawns in the sub-division under the management or control of the Public Works Department, Haryana, and the Rakh Hansi Bir in Hissar District.

VIRENDRA NATH,
Deputy Secretary.

HOME (POLICE) DEPARTMENT

The 4th September, 1973

No. 12225/B(SA) Promotion and Posting.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to promote Shri Puran Singh, Officiating Inspector No. A/34, to officiate as Deputy Superintendent of Police and to

post him as D.S. P., C.P.O., Control Centre, Haryana at Chandigarh, where he assumed the charge of his duties on the forenoon of 29th August, 1973.

J. C. VACHHER,
Joint Secretary.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT

The 22nd August, 1973

No. 7464-3HBI-73/26319.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to constitute a Sub-Committee to formulate detailed steps for the involvement of medical practitioners including Vaid, Hakims, Indigenous Dais and Quacks in the Family Planning Programme in order to popularise the programme amongst the masses through medical care, consisting of the following officials and non-officials as its members:—

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 1. Shrimati Sharda Rani Kanwar, State Minister for Health, Haryana | Chairman |
| 2. Dr. Sharda Ranjan, Model Town (near water tank) Ambala Cantt | Member |
| 3. Mrs. Sukhda Mishra, H. No. 70, Sector-7-A, Chandigarh | Member |
| 4. Dr. Kuldip Sethi, Chief Medical Officer, Jind | Member |
| 5. Dr. P. N. Kapila, Chief Medical Officer, Kurukshetra | Member |
| 6. Director, Health Services, Haryana or his representative | Member-Secretary |

2. The Headquarter of the Sub-Committee will be at Chandigarh.
3. The terms of the Sub-Committee will be for two years in the first instance but the State Government may in their discretion reconstitute it even before the expiry of this term.
4. The term of the references of this Sub-Committee will be as under: —
 - (i) to set up targets and the methodology of approach for other departments e. g. Education, Revenue, etc;
 - (ii) to fix the norms for the performance of each worker and to suggest disciplinary action against those who do not perform according to the norms;
 - (iii) to follow up on the Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act and its implementation in the State.
5. This notification comes into force with effect from 2nd August, 1973.
6. The non-official members will draw T. A. according to clause (c) of para 3 of Haryana Government letter No. 18-PPA (5)-66/570, dated the 23rd November, 1966. The official members will be entitled to T. A./D. A. as admissible under normal rules.
7. The State Family Planning Officer will be the Controlling Officer authorised to counter-sign the T. A. Bills of the non-official members. The other conditions regarding regulating T. A. etc. to the member of the Committee will be governed by the conditions incorporated in the Haryana Government letter No. 18-PPA (5)-66/570, dated the 23rd November, 1966 referred to above.
8. The expenditure involved in this connection will be debited to the Head "30-A—Family Planning-Contingencies" (Plan).

P. L. CHHABRA, Commissioner and Secy.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 23rd August, 1973

No. 8416-4Lab-73/29668.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act, No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Universal Cable Mfg. Opposite Railway Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No 73 of 1973

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S UNIVERSAL CABLE MFG
OPPOSITE RAILWAY ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present :—

Shri Amar Singh for the workmen along with Sarvshri Subram, Bhagwan Singh and Sava Ram, representatives of the workmen.

Shri Madan Lal Partner, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/73/19465, dated 9th June, 1973 of the Governor of Haryana, the following disputes between the management of M/s Universal Cable Mfg. Opposite Railway Road, Faridabad and its workmen were referred for adjudication to this Tribunal, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

What quantum of bonus should be paid to the workers for the year 1971-72 under the Payment of Bonus Act, 1952 ?

On receipt of the order of reference usual notices were given to the parties who have arrived at an amicable settlement. Their statements have been recorded. The workmen concerned had approached the management for settlement and had authorised their representatives Sarvshri Subram, Bhagwan Singh and Sava Ram to settle the terms which are given in the memorandum of settlement dated 9th August,

1973, Exhibit M-1 which is signed or thumb-marked by these representatives as well as 10 other workers in the factory. Shri Madan Lal, Partner, has signed it on behalf of the management while Shri Hari Singh Sindhu, Works Manager had witnessed it. According to the statements of the aforesaid three representatives of the concerned workmen all are satisfied with the terms and conditions of the settlement. Shri Amar Singh Sharma, President, General Engineering Mazdoor Union, N.I.T., Faridabad who had given the demand notice leading to the present reference has no instruction from the workmen to proceed with the reference and dispute the settlement.

In view of the above, I am satisfied that the settlement has been arrived at between the parties, as per terms and conditions given in the memorandum of settlement Exhibit M-1. The award is accordingly made in terms of the said settlement which shall form part of the award. There shall be no order as to costs.

The 9th August, 1973.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 984, dated the 10th August, 1973

Forwarded (four copies to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

The 9th August, 1973.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

FORM 'H'

(See Rule 58 of the Industrial Disputes Rules)

Memorandum of settlement under section 18(1) of the I. D. Act.

Name of the parties	..	1. Management of M/s. Universal Cable Mfg. Co., Faridabad.
	..	2. Workmen of M/s. Universal Cable Mfg. Co., Faridabad.
Representing Management	..	1. Shri Madan Lal—Partner.
Representing Workmen	..	1. Sarvshri Suvran, Bhagwan Singh and Seva Ram.

The Governor of Haryana,—vide order No. ID/FD/73/19465-69, dated 9th June, 1973 referred or adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana/Faridabad the following matter :

“What quantum of bonus should be paid to the workers for the year 1971-72 under the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965”.

After the order of reference was passed by the Haryana Government, the workmen of the factory approached the Management to settle the matter amicably. Negotiations were held from time to time to finalise the dispute. According to the negotiations finalised between the parties, the following terms of settlement were reached :—

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

1. The workmen agreed to the payment of Bonus for the year 1971-72 at 11 per cent (eleven per cent) of the total wages earned during the year, provided that the Bonus for the year 1972-73 is also paid at 11 per cent (eleven per cent) of the total wages during 1972-73.

2. The Management has accepted the above, in full and final settlement of their claim for 1971-72 and 1972-73 ending on 31st March, 1972 and 1973 respectively. The workmen have also agreed that they will not make any demand whatsoever on the subject, individually or collectively.

3. The Honourable Tribunal is requested to give award in light of this settlement.

This settlement is signed by the parties on this 9th day of August, 1973 at Faridabad.
For Management. For Workmen

(Sd.) Madan Lal

(Sd.) Survan Thumb-impression of (Sd.) Sewa Ram
Bhagwan Singh

Authorised representative.

Witness :

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. (Sd.) Hari Singh Sindhu. | 2. (Sd.) Girdhari | 3. (Sd.) Chandran K. R. |
| 4. Thumb impression of
Khem Chaud. | 5. Thumb-impression of
Richpal. | 6. (Sd.) Devi Chaudan. |
| 7. (Sd.) Hari Singh. | 8. (Sd.) Sham Lal. | 9. (Sd.) Inder Singh. |
| 10. Thumb-impression of
Ram Partap. | 11. (Sd.) Murti Ram. | |

The 24th August, 1973

No. 8527-4Lab-73/30061.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. S.D.O. Haryana State Electricity Board, G.T. Road, Rai.

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 46 of 1971

Between

Shri Sukh Raj and the management of S.D.O., Haryana State Electricity Board, G.T. Road, Rai.

Present :

Shri M.S. Rath, for the workman.

Shri D.C. Chadha, for the management.

AWARD

Shri Sukh Raj concerned workman was in the service of the Haryana State Electricity Board, G.T. Road, Rai as a Chowkidar since 1st December, 1964. The management terminated his services w.e.f. 1st September, 1969. He raised a demand for reinstatement which was not acceded to by the management. This gave rise to an industrial dispute.

On receipt of the failure report from the Conciliation Officer, the Governor of Haryana, referred the above dispute for adjudication to this court, vide order No. ID/RK/158-A-70/10707-11, dated the 14th April, 1971, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 with the following term of reference:

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sukh Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

Usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements.

The following issues arose for determination in the case:—

1. Whether the service of the workman became surplus?
2. Whether the termination of services of Shri Sukh Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties led their evidence on the above issues. Arguments were heard on both sides. Written arguments were also filed by the learned representatives of the parties.

It is, however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case as an amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties. The management has agreed to pay retrenchment compensation to Shri Sukh Raj concerned workman taking the date of his appointment as 1st December, 1964 and the period of his service to be counted for calculation of the retrenchment compensation as from 1st December, 1964 to 1st September 1969, i.e., the date of the termination of his services. It is further agreed that he will be offered a suitable job by way of fresh appointment provided he reports to the Executive Engineer, Sonapat within 30 days from the date of the publication of the award. The workman concerned has accepted the above terms of settlement except for the period of his service for calculation of the retrenchment compensation which according to him should be from 1st December, 1964 till the date of the award. He agrees to the offer of fresh appointment made by the management but presses that he may be adjusted at sonapat where he lives. The award, is, therefore, made in terms of the above settlement arrived at between the parties. The management shall pay retrenchment compensation and one month's wages in lieu of notice pay to Shri Sukh Raj concerned workman. The period of his service for purposes of calculation of retrenchment compensation shall be from 1st December, 1964 till the date of the award. He will not be entitled to any other dues excepting the retrenchment. He will not be entitled to any other dues excepting the retrenchment compensation and notice pay for one month. He will of course be entitled to fresh appointment on a suitable job to be offered to him by the management and he shall report himself for this purpose to the Executive Engineer, Haryana State Electricity Board, Sonapat within the period of one month from the date of the publication of the award. The payment of the amount due to him on account of retrenchment compensation and notice pay as mentioned above shall also be paid within this period. The management should try to adjust him at Sonapat as far as possible taking into consideration his poor circumstances. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 13th August, 1973.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1955, dated the 20th August, 1973.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 8354-4Lab-73/30087.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Spancer Lock Company, Sector-6, Plot No. 63, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 29 of 1972

between

SHRI PARAS RAM AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SPANCER LOCK COMPANY,
SECTOR-6, PLOT NO. 63, FARIDABAD.

Present.—

Shri R.C. Sharma, for the management.
Nemo, for the workman.

AWARD

Shri Paras Ram concerned workman was in the service of M/s Spancer Lock Company, Sector-6, Plot No. 63, Faridabad as a Drillman. His services stood terminated with effect from 30th October, 1972. He raised a demand for reinstatement which was not accepted by the management. This gave rise to an industrial dispute.

On receipt of the failure report from the Conciliation Officer, the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the above dispute for adjudication to this court,—vide order No. ID/FD/519-B-71/684, dated 10th January, 1972, with the following term of reference.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Paras Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Usual notices were given to the parties. The plea taken by the management is that, as a matter of fact this workman was a habitual absentee and had remained absent from duty without any proper authorisation from 23rd September, 1971 to 30th October, 1971 and, therefore, his name had to be struck off the rolls. Shri Paras Ram has not filed any replication statement of claim to deny the above plea raised on behalf of the management. He has even elected not to appear and pursue his claim in the present reference. From the perusal of the demand notice which forms part of the present reference, it would appear that he belonged to the I.N.T.U.C. Mazdoor Counsel, Faridabad and Shri Amar Singh, the General Secretary of the said union had appeared on his behalf on some dates of hearing fixed in the case but had finally withdrawn himself for want of necessary instructions from the workman.

The management has examined 2 witnesses, M.W.1 Shri Ram Lal Mittal, Timekeeper and M.W.2 Shri Ajit Kumar, Partner who have both sworn testimony to the fact that this workman had remained absent from duty without any proper leave or authorisation from 23rd September, 1971 to 30th October, 1971. I do not see and reason to disbelieve the testimony of these witnesses especially when the workman concerned has not come forward to deny the plea of the management and pursue his claim by making his own statement on oath, what to speak of leading any other evidence.

From the facts discussed above, it would appear that is a case of self-abandonment of service by the workman concerned, resulting into the loss of lien on the job held by him and as such he is not entitled to any relief by way of reinstatement and payment of back wages. The award is made accordingly. No order as to costs.

Dated 8th August, 1973.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1857, dated 13th August, 1973

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 8358-4-Lab-73/30089.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. United Oil Mill Machinery and Spares (P) Ltd., Ballabgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK.

Reference No. 54 of 1972

between

SHRI INDER SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. UNITED OIL MILL MACHINERY AND SPARES (P) LTD., BALLABGARH

Present.—

Shri Darshan Singh for the workman.
Shri S.L. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

Shri Inder Singh was in the service of M/s. United Oil Mill Machinery and Spares (P) Ltd., Ballabgarh. His services were terminated by the management. He made a demand for reinstatement but without any satisfactory response. This gave rise to an industrial dispute.

On receipt of the failure report from the Conciliation Officer, the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the dispute for adjudication to this court,—vide order No. ID/FD/331-E-71/4632, dated 9th February, 1972, with the following term of reference:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Inder Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Usual notices were given to the parties. The plea taken on behalf of the management is that, as a matter of fact, this man had entered into an amicable settlement with the management under section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and the management had agreed to pay to him 22 days wages, as *ex gratia*, in full and final settlement of all his claims and he had given up his right of reinstatement/re-employment. A copy of the memorandum of settlement Exhibit M.1 has been produced. Shri Darshan Singh authorised representative of the workman has no instruction from him to dispute the said settlement and proceed with the reference.

The workman concerned having thus settled the dispute in conciliation proceedings, as contemplated under section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and received *ex gratia* payment in full and final settlement of his entire claims giving up his right of reinstatement or re-employment. There was no industrial dispute between the parties which could validly be referred for adjudication to this court as the workman was not entitled to any other relief. The award is made accordingly. In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 9th August, 1973.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1853, dated 13th August, 1973.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 8359-4 Lab-73/30091.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s York India Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 21 of 1972

between

Shri Diwan Singh and the management of M/s York India Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad.

Present.—

Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish, for the workman.

Shri S. L. Gupta, for the management

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/34-E-71/324, dated 4th January, 1972 of the Governor of Haryana, the following disputes between the management of M/s York India Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad and its workman Shri Diwan Singh was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of subsection (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Diwan Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Usual notices were given to the parties. The management disputed the claim of the workman pleading *inter alia* that the dispute had not been properly raised. The following issues were framed:—

- (1) Whether the reference is in valid because no dispute was raised with the management directly?
- (2) Whether the termination of services of Shri Diwan Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

It is, however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case as the parties have arrived at a settlement. Their statement have been recorded. The management has agreed to pay Rs 3,400 to the workman, in full and final

settlement of his entire claims, by 20th August, 1973 and he has forgone his right of reinstatement or re-employment. There is thus no dispute left between the parties and the award is made in terms of the above settlement. The management shall pay Rs 3,400 in full settlement of his claims on 20th August, 1973, in the court, as agreed and he will not be entitled to reinstatement or re-employment.

No order as to costs.

O.P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1852, dated 13th August, 1973

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

S.N. BHANOT
Commissioner for Labour and Employment
and Secy.

WELFARE OF SCHEDULED CASTES AND BACKWARD CLASSES DEPARTMENT

The 4th September, 1973

No. 6013-SW 4-73/15904-A.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to constitute a State Advisory Committee for safeguarding the interest of minorities for entry into Government Service as under :—

- | | |
|---|--------------------|
| 1. Ch. Bansi Lal, Chief Minister, Haryana | .. Chairman |
| 2. Ch. Rizak Ram, Deputy Chairman, Planning Board | .. Deputy Chairman |

OFFICIAL MEMBERS

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 1. Ch. Shyam Chand, Development Minister, Haryana. | |
| 2. Ch. Maru Singh, Education Minister, Haryana. | |
| 3. Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana. | |
| 4. Director, Public Instructions, Haryana. | |
| 5. Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Social Welfare Department | .. Member-Secretary |

NON-OFFICIAL MEMBERS

1. Shri Phool Chand, M.L.A., Rohat.
2. Shri Phool Singh Kataria, M.L.A., Sahlawas.
3. Shri Phool Chand, M.L.A., Mulana.
4. Shri Behari Lal Balmiki, M.L.A.
5. Shri Khursheed Ahmed.
6. Sardar Piara Singh, M.L.A.

2. The functions of the Committee will be to advise the Government on general policy matters and specific programmes for safeguarding the interests of the minority communities in respect of their entry into Government services. In respect of any matter intended to be raised in a meeting of the Committee, notice should be given to the Member-Secretary at least one month before the date of the said meeting.

3. The Committee shall meet quarterly under the Chairmanship of the Chief Minister or as may be decided by the Chairman, and in his absence the Minister-in-Charge of the Social Welfare Department, and in the absence of both of them, the Deputy Chairman. Five members present shall form the quorum of the Committee.

4. The term of the Committee will be two years but Government may, by express order, reconstitute the Committee at any time.

5. The Headquarters of the Committee will be at Chandigarh.

6. The members will receive travelling allowance and daily halting allowance as under :—

(a) Legislators in their *ex-officio* capacity, under the Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of members) Act, 1942, and the Rules made thereunder as applicable to the State of Haryana.

(b) Members of Parliament in respect of journeys performed by rail, as admissible to members of the Legislature appointed in their *ex-officio* capacity, less one first class fare for journeys by rail to and fro.

(c) Non-officials other than M.L.As./M.Ps. at one first class fare plus incidental allowances and road mileage as admissible to a 1st Grade Government employee drawing a pay of Rs 1,000 and Rs 9.00/11.25/13.50 in plains/Hills/Special Hill tracts as Daily Allowance, respectively. The other conditions laid down in the T. A. Rules for Government employees will also apply to journeys performed by non-official members except when otherwise provided.

7. The Secretary, Haryana Vidhan Sabha, will be the Controlling Officer for the purpose of countersigning the T.A. and halting allowance bills of the M.L.As. on the committee. The Commissioner and Secretary, Social Welfare, will be the Controlling Officer for the purpose of countersigning the T.A. Bills in respect of the other non-official members.

8. The expenditure involved shall be debitable against the budget allotment under the Head "19—General Administration—C—Secretariat and Attached Offices—L—Civil Secretariat (Non-Plan)".

9. This issues with the concurrence of the Finance Department conveyed,—vide their U. O. No. 3746-1FGI-73, dated 25th July, 1973.

S. N. BHANOT, Commr. & Secy.

राजस्व विभाग

युद्ध जागीर

दिनांक 4 सितम्बर, 1973

क्रमांक 1915-ज(II)-73/26759.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उस में आज तक हरियाणा सरकार द्वारा संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुये हरियाणा के राज्यपाल निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर उन के सामने दी फसल तथा राशि एवं सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं :—

क्रमांक	ज़िला	जागीर पाने वाले का नाम	गांव व पता	तहसील	फसल/वर्ष जब से जागीर दी गई	राशि
						रुपये
1	कुरुक्षेत्र	श्री उजागर सिंह, पुत्र श्री बेला सिंह	बाखली	गुहला	खरीफ, 1965 से रबी, 1970 तक	100
					खरीफ, 1970 से	150
2	"	श्री नन्द किशोर, पुत्र श्री सालग राम	कैथल	कैथल	खरीफ, 1965 से रबी, 1970 तक	100
					खरीफ, 1970 से	150